

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/666,280	09/21/2000	Kyoung Ro Yoon	24286/81251 8463			
7590 05/25/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Peter H. Kang SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			DUONG,	DUONG, OANH L		
555 California Street, Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Francisco, CA 94104-1715			2155	2155		

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/666,280	YOON ET AL.	YOON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Oanh Duong	2155		

	Oanh Duong	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 03 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 16.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.	.,		the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of infany rep	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 51-85. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's argurment is not persuasive (see attachment)		n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	SUPERVISORY PATER	JAR NT EXAMINER	

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that

(A) Prior art fails to disclose that a parent preference element in the hierarchical structure includes a preference condition that specifies a place to which the parent preference element applies.

As to point (A), Herz does teach a parent preference element in the hierarchical structure includes a preference condition that specifies a place to which the parent preference element applies. For example, Herz teaches a parent preference element (customer profile or mood or preference) in the hierarchical structure (tree) includes a preference condition that specifies a place (location) to which the parent preference element applies (col. 5 lines 22-52, col. 17 lines 27-65 and col. 18 lines 2-6: Herz discloses each customer would have one or more customer profiles or moods wherein moods or profiles form a tree or hierarchical structure such that video programs are viewed by the customer at a particular customer location at particular time based on the customer profiles or moods).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9:30AM - 6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,280 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

O.D May 22, 2006